JLA-Guest

PASSWORD

Community#1









Transportation Policy Board Meeting February 10, 2025

ITEM 1: CERTIFICATION OF QUORUM



ITEM 2: PUBLIC COMMENTS



ITEM 3: EXECUTIVE SESSION



ITEM 4: REPORT FROM THE TAC CHAIR



ITEM 5: DISCUSSION AND APPROPRIATE ACTION ON DECEMBER 9, 2024 MEETING MINUTES

Recommendation

Staff requests the TPB approval of the December 9, 2024 Meeting Minutes.





ITEM 6: DISCUSSION AND TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION ON APPOINTMENT TO CAPMETRO BOARD



ITEM 7: DISCUSSION AND TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION ON 2026-2029 PROJECT CALL PROCESS UPDATES

ITEM 8: DISCUSSION ON CAMPO CODE OF CONDUCT FOR TRANSPORTATION POLICY BOARD MEMBERS

ITEM 9: PRESENTATION ON DRAFT 2050 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN (RTP)



- Presentation on the DRAFT 2050 RTP
- Previous TPB updates -
 - » Purpose and timeline
 - » Summary of project call and received projects
 - » Review of revenue estimation methodology and findings
- This update summary of plan contents, process, and how to provide feedback





Overview: Plan Chapters



- Purpose and Goals
- Trends and Needs
- Fiscal Constraint
- Project List Development
- Travel Demand Model Results
- Public Involvement
- Performance Measures and 2050 Policies





Goals & Objectives

Part 1 of 2

Used to shape prioritization of federal funds (project call evaluation)

Goals	Objectives		
	A. Crash Reduction – Reduce severity and number of crashes for all modes.		
Safety	B. TxDOT Road to Zero - Support local government and transit agencies reaching TxDOT Road to Zero metrics.		
	C. Connectivity - Reduce network gaps to add connectivity, eliminate bottlenecks, create system redundancy, and enhance seamless use across all modes.		
	D. Reliability - Improve the reliability of the transportation network through improve incident management, intelligent transportation systems (ITS), transportation demar management (TDM)		
Mobility	E. Travel Choices - Offer time-competitive, accessible, and integrated transportation options across the region.		
	F. Implementation – Plan and deliver networks for all transportation modes, with reduced project delivery delays.		
	G. Regional Coordination - Continue inter-agency collaboration between transportation planning, implementation, and development entities.		
	H. System Preservation – Use operations, ITS, and optimization techniques to expand the useful life cycle of the multimodal system elements.		
	I. Fiscal Constraint - Strategically prioritize fiscally constrained investments to maximi benefits to the region.		
Stewardship	J. Public Health - Improve public health outcomes through air and water quality protection and active mobility.		
	K. Natural Environment - Develop transportation designs that promote system resiliency by avoiding, minimizing, and mitigating negative impacts on water and air quality, as well as habitat.		





Goals & Objectives

Part 2 of 2

Used to shape prioritization of federal funds (project call evaluation)

Goals	Objectives		
_	L. Economic Development – Enhance economic development potential by increasing opportunities to live, work, and play in proximity for residents and visitors.		
Economy	M. Value of Time - Enable mode choice and system management to keep people and goods moving and reduce lost hours of productivity.		
Equity	N. Access to Opportunity - Develop a multimodal transportation system that allows all, including vulnerable populations, to access employment, education, and services.		
	O. Impact on Human Environment – Promote transportation investments that have positiv impacts and avoid, minimize and mitigate negative impacts on vulnerable populations.		
	P. Valuing Communities – Align system functionality with evolving character and design that is respectful to the community, housing, and environment for current and future generations		
Innovation	Q. Technology - Leverage technological advances to increase the efficiency of travel across all modes and for users of the network.		
	R. Flexibility – Develop a system that is adaptable and flexible to changing needs, condition and emerging technologies.		





Trends and Needs



- Demographic Trends population and employment expected to double by 2050
- Emerging Technologies trends, benefits, and challenges for various transportation technologies
- System Performance congestion management, active transportation, public transit
- Environmental Considerations Title VI compliance, air quality, public health
- Safety Considerations pedestrian, bicyclist, and vehicle crash trends
- Unconstrained Needs completed regional plans/studies





The Process to a Fiscally-Constrained Project List











\$0

2025 - 2030

2031-2040

2041-2050





Fiscal Constraint Overview













Arriving at Fiscal Constraint

- Include projects previously approved in the TIP timeframe
- Include projects submitted as locally funded
- Rank remaining projects for federal/state funding prioritization based on their MPO-reviewed score





Constrained Roadway Projects

326 projects 2/3 locally funded; 1/3 state and federal







Constrained Transit Projects

108 projects



















Draft Project Web Map -**Overview**

DRAFT-2050 RTP projects

https://campotexas.map s.arcgis.com/apps/instan <u>t/portfolio/index.html?a</u> <u>ppid=7cd2c7c7da0b4f23</u> <u>9b0c85f34f5bff5c</u>

DRAFT - 2050 RTP projects



DRAFT 2050 RTP

Q

2

This map shows project recommendations from the Draft 2050 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the proposed long-range transportation plan for the six-county Capital Area Metropolitan Planning Organization (CAMPO) region. Project are classified as either constrained and illustrative. Constrained projects are those which sponsoring agency have demonstrated an ability to fund within the time frame of the plan or have been prioritized for federal funding by satisfying criteria tied to the Draft 2050 RTP goals and objectives. Illustrative projects consist of planned transportation projects for which funding cannot reasonably be expected or which are expected to be implemented outside the time horizon of the RTP.







Travel Demand Modeling

2020, 2050 No Build, and 2050 Build results

- With projected growth, travel demand is expected to more than double, to 22 million person-trips per day by 2050.
- Reduced investment in transportation services results in worsening travel congestion to more than twice the current levels.
- Identified improvements represent a reduction of more than 28 million vehicle miles of travel per day compared to "No Build."
- VMT per person increases by 20% under "No Build" but stays the same as existing under "Build" conditions.





Title VI Analysis

Requirements and Vulnerable Population Definitions

- Using Title VI to consider protected classes and,
- Additional consideration of Vulnerable Populations
 - Also includes low income, seniors, persons with disabilities, zero-car households, and persons with limited English proficiency





Title VI Analysis

Comparing Impacts on Vulnerable Populations to the General Population

• By vehicle:

» Vulnerable populations experience a slightly shorter trip length and travel time

• By transit:

- Vulnerable populations experience a roughly equivalent walk length and slightly shorter walk time
- » Vulnerable populations experience a slightly shorter transit length and time in 2020, and a slightly longer transit length and time in 2050





2050 Plan Community Outreach



- Second round of outreach February-April
- Outreach methods will include:
 - » Online Open Houses
 - » In-person events and open houses
 - » Mail and phone commenting
- Public events in all six counties
- Public hearing in April prior to RTP adoption
 - » Public comments will be provided to board members prior to May meeting





Next Steps

Send all comments and questions by <u>March 14</u> to <u>William.Lisska@campotexas.org</u>

- February 10 | TPB Draft Plan (information)
- February to April Second round of public outreach
- March 14 deadline for TPB feedback
- March 24 | TAC Final Plan (information)
- April 14 | TPB Final Plan (information)
- April 28 | TAC Final Plan (recommendation)
- May 12 | TPB Final Plan (action)





ITEM 10: UPDATE ON CAMPO REGIONAL SAFETY ACTION PLAN (RSAP)







Safety Analysis

Example: Williamson County Crash Proportions by Emphasis Area

	Top Emphasis Areas	Fatal & Serious Injury Crashes	Proportion of Fatal & Serious Injury Crashes
$\widehat{\approx}$	Dark Conditions	529	42%
$\widehat{\otimes}$	Intersection Related	520	41%
$\widehat{\otimes}$	Roadway/Lane Departures	432	34%
$\widehat{\otimes}$	Speed Related	355	28%
$\widehat{\otimes}$	Young Driver Involved (15-20)	227	18%
$\widehat{\otimes}$	Older Driver Involved (65+)	202	16%
$\widehat{\otimes}$	No Seatbelt/Child Car Seat	190	15%
$\widehat{\otimes}$	Alcohol/Drug Related	174	14%
$\hat{\langle}$	Distracted Driving	139	11%
	Pedestrians/Bicyclists	129	10%

Note: Crashes are not mutually exclusive; a single crash can be classified under multiple categories. For example, a crash may be both a roadway departure and speed-related if it involves both factors.





Safety Analysis

Example: Bastrop County High Injury Intersections







Safety Analysis

Example: Bastrop County High Injury Segments







Recommending Safety Improvements

County-level Project Identification and Prioritization

- Connecting improvements to safety needs
 - » Locations exhibiting crash frequency and severity
 - » Contributing factors
- Confirm potential improvements with Task Forces, then share ideas with public
 - » Keep recommendations flexible for local implementation, but some assumptions to better measure impact
- Developing prioritization criteria for infrastructure improvements in partnership with County-level Task Forces
 - » Crash Reduction Potential
 - » Project Cost

- » Vulnerable Road User Benefits
- » Equity Benefits
- » Readiness





Behavioral Strategies

Focused on Emphasis Areas

- Behaviors: Impaired, Speeding, Distracted, Occupant
 Protection
- » Locations: Intersections, Work Zones, School Zones
- » Vulnerable Road Users (VRU)

Sample Strategies

- » High-visibility law enforcement
- Educate/train pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists on ways to avoid crashes





Proposed Draft Project Locations

Caldwell County







Safety Countermeasures

Segments/Corridors

. . . .







Safety Countermeasures

Intersections

. . . .







Safety Countermeasures

Pedestrians/Bicyclists







Next Steps

Project Team

- Round 2 outreach
- Complete County-level Safety Action Plans
- Regional crash analysis, policy assessment





ITEM 11: DISCUSSION ON FEDERAL PERFORMANCE MEASURE TARGET UPDATES





Transportation Performance Management

- Transportation Performance Management (TPM) is a **federallymandated strategic approach** that uses system information to inform investment and policy decisions to achieve national performance goals.
- CAMPO **utilizes the TPM process** through a variety of actions including:
 - Performance-based project selection
 - Adopting regional targets
 - Monitoring investment progress and impact
 - Planning products including the TIP, RTP and studies













C≋MPO

Performance Measure Dashboard

CAMPO has developed an interactive dashboard for performance measure management, <u>available online</u> for indepth analysis on safety (PM1), bridge/road conditions (PM2), and system reliability (PM3).







ITEM 12: PRESENTATION ON TEXAS SMARTTRACK INITIATIVE





Texas SMART Track February 10, 2025





Goals – Opportunities – Challenges – Solutions – Path Forward



90%

Estimated reduction in Fatal Crashes w/ full implementation of Emerging Technologies

Advancement in emerging technologies has the potential to greatly reduce all crashes.

*According to McKinsey & Company Study

ADAS Fatality Mitigation Potential

Advanced Driver Assistance Systems (ADAS) potentially prevented or mitigated the below:









Example of Transportation Technology Solutions



- Smart Intersections
 - Vulnerable Roadway User Detection
 - School Zones
 - Eco-Driving Optimized Operations

• Rural & Urban Blind Spot Warnings



Goals – Opportunities – Challenges – Solutions – Path Forward

Texas SMARTTrack – Proving ground to:





Thank You

Dr. Amit Bhasin, Ph.D., P.E. <u>a-bhasin@mail.utexas.edu</u>

Miguel Arellano, P.E. <u>Miguel.arellano@txdot.gov</u>





ITEM 13: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT ON TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ACTIVITIES

ITEM 14: ANNOUNCEMENTS



Upcoming Meetings

• TAC → February 24, 2025

• TPB → March 10, 2025





ADJOURNMENT

